To: CN=Carolyn Yale/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: []
From: CN=Bruce Herbold/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Tue 10/26/2010 6:28:37 PM
Subject: Fw: BDCP exports
BDCP Exports.pdf

our numbers don't quite match for historic (I'm talking to him, it is an easy convert and shouldn't differ at all) but thought y'all should see this. Note that the NoAction alternative doesn't track histirc very well, therefore the diff between NAA and Proposed project is reduced.

aloha

Bruce

----- Forwarded by Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US on 10/26/2010 11:26 AM -----

From: "Feyrer, Frederick V" <FFeyrer@usbr.gov>
To: Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, "Nobriga, Matt" <Matt_Nobriga@fws.gov>, "Grimaldo, Lenny F" <LGrimaldo@usbr.gov>
Date: 10/26/2010 11:01 AM
Subject: BDCP exports

Dudes

I finally got around to formatting the BDCP modeling output so that I could put together a simple time series. Funny how they provide it in all colors, shapes and sizes except for the most useful ones. Anyway, the attached plot shows total combined exports under the Proposed Project (PP), the No Action Alternative (NAA) and historic exports taken from DAYFLOW. I wanted to show you this for the shear shock and awe value but also to QC the historic exports for me. I had to manipulate the data a bit and also convert the DAYFLOW CFS values to TAF, so I wanted to run it by some other eyes to make sure I didn't mess it up. I ended up with max exports of 6480 TAF in WY 2005 - does that sound about right? Does the time series look basically correct? Enjoy.

-Fred